Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,694	WILLIAMSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RANDAL D. MORAN	2435	

All Participants:

(1) *Beemnet Dada*.

(2) *Matthew C. Dooley*.

Status of Application: *amendment after non-final action*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *21 September 2010*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:
Baker (US 6,775,657)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed an amendment to inlcude limitaions of claim 2 into independent claim 1 in view of newly found prior art Baker and prior art rejections to expedite the prosecution. Applicant's representative did not agree to the proposed amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Beemnet W Dada/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2435

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)